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Q1: Does the funder have a preferred typology for characterizing land use change and forest sector programmes?

A1: There is no set typology provided and teams applying for this question should address this in their proposal. 3ie is currently working with Children’s Investment Fund Foundation to develop a draft typology. The successful team should consolidate this with their inputs and those of a broader stakeholder group at the start of the project.

Q2: Does the research question encompass both production and consumption-related interventions, for e.g. demand for and supply of forest resources?

A2: The research should cover a broad range of interventions in the sector, not limited to only demand or only supply side interventions. The final substantive scope of the evidence gap map, and later the systematic reviews should be agreed with the stakeholder advisory group.

Q3: Is the geographic scope of the research question global or can it be narrowed to low- and middle-income, or non-OECD countries?

A3: The scope of the evidence gap map should be global. The systematic reviews may have a more limited geographical scope, to be agreed with the funder before stage 2.

Q4: What does 3ie mean by legally registered organisations and consortia of registered organisations?

A4: Legally registered organisations are those registered with the government of the country in which they operate. Consortia members may be individual consultants, provided the grant signatory is a legally registered organisation. See also FAQ 7: http://www.3ieimpact.org/en/funding/systematic-reviews-grants/systematic-reviews-call-8/faq/#7