Development Priorities Window 1 EOI Form 1. Basic Information

1 BASIC DETAILS					
1.1.1 Title of proposed impact evaluation study					
1.1.2 Proposed duration of the study if the full proposal is selected, in number of months Teams should aim to start work shortly after signing the contract.					
Proposed duration in months					
1.1.3 Country(ies) where the programme or policy that will be evaluated is located*					
Select one or more regions and THEN select one or more countries. (NB : Selecting further regions will clear UNSAVED country selections. First country per region is bolded in orange.) Region					
☐ East Asia and Pacific					
☐ Europe and Central Asia					
☐ Latin America and Caribbean					
☐ Middle East and North Africa					
South Asia					
☐ Sub-Saharan Africa					
☐ American Samoa					

1.1.4 Please indicate the <u>primary</u> sector of the programme or policy that the study will evaluate. Please check all that are applicable. Infrastructure							
	Governance						
	Public Finance						
	Environment						
1	1.2 ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION						
	1.2.1 Implementing Agency*						
	Policy/Programme proposed for the evaluation						
	Name of implementing agency (ies)		٦				
	(non/governmental entity implementing the policy						
	or programme to be evaluated)						
	Type of implementing agency						
	(Governmental/non-governmental/other)						
	Name of policy or programme (if available)						
	Decrease or invalors artism are now web address if a vailable		_				
	Program or implementing agency web address if available						
	1.2.2 Organisation where the grant will be held if awarded by 3ie						
	Legal name of the organisation*						
	Turn of annonication*						
	Type of organisation*						
	Division or department						
	Country of registration *						
	Website						
	Organisation's legal status*						
	1.2.3 Verification						
	1.2.3 VEHHUAHUH						

We verify that the organisation is legally eligible to receive grants from organisations in other countries and from 3ie

1.2.4 Details of the contract signatory*								
	First Name *	Surname *	Designation *					
Name								
Country Dial Code * Area Dial Code Phone No (If applicable)			mer* Mobile/Cell Phone					
Email'	*							
Country of residence ³	k	▼						

1.2.5 Verification *

"We certify that we have reviewed 3ie's grant agreement documents available on the 3ie website and confirm that the grant-holding organisation agrees to the terms of the documents." (Select yes, tick box) *

Yes

1.2.6 Membership*

Does the grant holding organisation have any type of membership with 3ie?*

Member Associate Member Neither

If Member or Associate Member, please select the name from the Member or Associate Member list http://www.3ieimpact.org/en/about/3ie-affiliates/)

Does the organisation that is implementing the policy or programme (also called the implementing agency) have any type of membership with 3ie? Member Associate Member Neither

If yes, please select the name from the 3ie members list (weblink)

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 The proposed method that will be used to identify causal change in the evaluation *

Please tick one or more intended methodologies as applicable

Randomized control trial (or randomized assignment)

Natural experiment

Quasi-experimental (propensity score matching)

Quasi-experimental (instrumental variables)

Quasi-experimental (regression discontinuity design)

Quasi-experimental (difference in difference with matching)

Quasi-experimental (difference in difference without matching)

Interrupted time series

Single difference

Other econometric models (such as fixed effects models)

Case control

Other (please specify)

If 'OTHER' was ticked, please specify [up to 100 characters]:

2.2 Please describe the main question that the proposed impact evaluation study will exmine.* (up to 300 words)

2.3 Proposed impact evaluation design

Explain briefly the impact evaluation approach proposed in the study with an emphasis on mixed methods [up to 600 words].

2.4 Policy and research relevance

Why is the proposed impact evaluation important for key stakeholder decision making [up to 300 words]? Please also briefly outline the knowledge gap that the proposed evaluation will address [up to 300 words].

2.5 Relevant sector and impact evaluation experience

Please briefly describe the previous experience of the principal investigator(s) (PIs) in leading and contributing to rigorous impact evaluations that have employed rigorous mixed methods, including experimental and/or quasi-experimental identification designs, in the relevant sector(s): infrastructure, environment, public finance or governance, and in the country (ies) being targeted by this proposal [up to 400 words].

2.6 Working with developing country researchers

Please briefly describe the team's prior experience in collaborating with developing country researchers or teams with special emphasis on any current or potential potential partner organizsation(s) or individual researchers within targeted country(ies) that the team will collaborate with [up to 300 words]